

The Post War Anglo American Far Right A Special Relationship Of Hate

The Post-War Anglo-American Far Right: A Special Relationship of Hate

The post-war era witnessed a complex and often unsettling transatlantic exchange: a "special relationship" not of cooperation and shared values, but of shared ideologies and networks within the far-right. This insidious connection, fueled by anti-communist sentiment, racial prejudice, and a rejection of postwar social changes, bound extremist groups in both the United States and Britain in a web of influence and mutual reinforcement.

Understanding this historical entanglement is crucial to grasping the enduring legacy of far-right extremism in both nations. Key aspects we will explore include the **transatlantic exchange of ideas**, the role of **anti-communist networks**, the influence of **fascist and neo-Nazi ideologies**, the impact on **immigration policies**, and the **continuing relevance** of this historical connection to contemporary far-right movements.

The Transatlantic Exchange of Ideas: Seeds of Hate

The post-war period saw a significant exchange of individuals and ideas between the American and British far-right. Many prominent figures traveled between the two countries, attending conferences, establishing contacts, and spreading their ideologies. This wasn't simply a matter of isolated individuals; established organizations played a significant role. For example, the British fascist Oswald Mosley's Union Movement maintained connections with American groups, exchanging literature and strategies. This transatlantic exchange helped to solidify and spread extremist views, creating a sense of shared purpose and global reach. It fostered a feeling of validation, empowering these groups and giving them a sense of belonging within a wider,

international network.

Anti-Communist Networks: A Shared Enemy, Shared Tactics

The shared fear of communism served as a powerful catalyst for this special relationship of hate. Both the American and British far-right saw communism as an existential threat, uniting them in their opposition. This fear was often exploited to legitimize their hateful rhetoric and policies, portraying their opposition to communism as a necessary defense against a broader societal upheaval. This facilitated the creation of anti-communist networks that transcended national borders, providing a platform for the exchange of information, strategies, and propaganda. Organizations like the John Birch Society in the US and various anti-communist groups in Britain actively engaged in this exchange, fostering a shared sense of purpose. The "Red Scare" in the US and similar anxieties in the UK provided fertile ground for extremist narratives.

The Influence of Fascist and Neo-Nazi Ideologies: A Persistent Legacy

The legacy of fascism and Nazism played a significant role in shaping the post-war Anglo-American far right. While openly advocating for Nazism declined after World War II, its underlying ideologies – racial supremacy, antisemitism, and authoritarianism – continued to influence extremist groups. This influence manifested in coded language, dog whistles, and subtle references embedded within their messaging. Many groups actively worked to rehabilitate the image of fascist leaders, presenting them as misunderstood or unfairly maligned figures. The persistent influence of these ideologies underscores the deeply rooted nature of far-right extremism and its transatlantic connections. The re-emergence of neo-Nazi and white supremacist movements in recent years highlights the continuing relevance of this historical legacy.

Immigration Policies: A Common Target

Immigration policies became a significant battleground for the Anglo-American far-right. Both sides of the Atlantic saw immigration as a threat to their perceived national identity and cultural homogeneity. This fear of "outsiders" often intertwined

with racial prejudices, leading to discriminatory policies and rhetoric. Extremist groups actively campaigned against immigration, often using inflammatory language and disseminating misinformation. The shared concern over immigration acted as a powerful unifying factor, reinforcing the transatlantic connections between these groups. Examining the evolution of immigration policies in both countries reveals a consistent pattern of far-right influence, shaping debates and contributing to restrictive legislation.

The Continuing Relevance: Echoes of the Past

The historical "special relationship of hate" between the Anglo-American far-right continues to cast a long shadow on contemporary politics. The rise of populist and nationalist movements on both sides of the Atlantic reflects a continuation of many of the themes and tactics employed by their post-war predecessors. The online environment has facilitated the spread of extremist ideologies, allowing for increased international collaboration and coordination amongst these groups. Understanding the historical roots of these connections is essential to comprehending and countering the resurgence of far-right extremism in the 21st century. The shared grievances, strategies, and ideologies that bound these groups together in the post-war period remain relevant and continue to shape the landscape of contemporary political extremism.

FAQ

Q1: What specific groups constituted the post-war Anglo-American far-right network?

A1: The network was not a formally structured organization, but rather a loose collection of groups with overlapping ideologies and contacts. On the American side, this included groups like the John Birch Society, the Ku Klux Klan (various factions), and various white supremacist organizations. In Britain, groups like the Union Movement, various neo-Nazi factions, and anti-immigration groups formed part of the network. The connections were often informal, built through personal relationships, shared conferences, and the exchange of literature.

Q2: How did the Cold War impact the Anglo-American far-right?

A2: The Cold War significantly fueled the far-right's growth and interconnectedness. The anti-communist sentiment provided a unifying ideology, allowing disparate groups to find common cause against a perceived global enemy. This provided justification for their views and actions, and facilitated alliances across national borders.

Q3: What role did propaganda and misinformation play in this "special relationship"?

A3: Propaganda played a crucial role in disseminating hateful ideologies and fostering a sense of shared identity within the transatlantic network. The exchange of literature, pamphlets, and other forms of propaganda strengthened this connection. Misinformation about communism, immigration, and minority groups was used to manipulate public opinion and legitimize the groups' extreme views.

Q4: How did this historical relationship influence contemporary far-right movements?

A4: The historical relationship laid the groundwork for the contemporary global far-right. Many current groups employ similar tactics, draw upon similar ideological sources, and even maintain some level of contact with groups across the Atlantic. The shared anti-immigrant sentiment, the emphasis on national identity, and the use of online platforms to spread propaganda are all legacies of this historical connection.

Q5: Are there any academic resources that delve deeper into this topic?

A5: Yes, numerous academic works explore various aspects of the post-war far-right in both the US and UK. Research on specific organizations, the evolution of far-right ideologies, and the role of transatlantic networks would be a good starting point. Searching academic databases like JSTOR and Google Scholar using keywords like "post-war far-right," "transatlantic extremism," and "Anglo-American fascism" will yield relevant results.

Q6: How did the transatlantic exchange of individuals contribute to the spread of extremist views?

A6: The movement of individuals between the US and UK facilitated the dissemination of extremist ideas and strategies. Speakers, writers, and organizers travelled across the Atlantic, sharing their views at conferences, meetings, and rallies. This exchange exposed a wider audience to extremist ideologies,

bolstering support and fostering a sense of a shared international movement.

Q7: What are some of the long-term consequences of this "special relationship"?

A7: The long-term consequences include the persistence of far-right ideologies in both countries, the normalization of hateful rhetoric, and the continued influence of these ideologies on political discourse. The ongoing struggle against extremism in both nations is a direct consequence of this historical connection.

Q8: How can we counter the legacy of this "special relationship" today?

A8: Countering this legacy requires a multi-pronged approach. This includes critical education about the history of the far-right, active promotion of tolerance and inclusivity, strong counter-speech initiatives to combat hate speech online, and robust legislation to address hate crimes and extremist organizations. A crucial element is fostering a culture of active resistance to all forms of extremism.

The Post-War Anglo-American Far Right: A Special Relationship of loathing

The post-World War II era witnessed a complex and often disturbing transatlantic exchange between the far-right movements of the United States and the United Kingdom. This article explores the intricate and sometimes unsettling links that fostered a "special relationship" not of cooperation and alliance, but of shared bigotries and strategic collusion . This wasn't merely a coincidence of similar ideologies; it was an active, evolving partnership fueled by a shared desire for a specific kind of social and political structure .

The foundations of this unholy alliance were laid in the immediate post-war period. The downfall of Nazi Germany did not lead to the eradication of far-right ideology. Instead, many individuals and groups, some having aided with the Nazis, repackaged themselves and sought new avenues to further their agendas. Resentment with the perceived failings of post-war social democracy and the burgeoning power of communism provided fertile ground for their recruitment.

One crucial factor was the relocation of individuals across the Atlantic. Figures like William Joyce ("Lord Haw-Haw"), though ultimately tried and executed in the UK, showcased the ease with

which extremist ideas could travel. Less extreme, but still significant, were networks of individuals who shared ideological sympathies , forming informal channels for the distribution of propaganda and strategic planning. The Cold War further intensified this relationship . The perceived threat of communism allowed far-right groups to frame themselves as a necessary bulwark against a global menace , thus gaining legitimacy and support .

This wasn't simply a matter of imitating each other's ideologies. There was a distinct and active sharing of ideas and strategies. American proponents of white supremacy, for instance, found common cause with their British counterparts who championed similar agendas often masked under the guise of allegiance. The overlap was significant, particularly in their defiance to multiculturalism, hatred of Jews, and a general skepticism of foreigners .

Specific examples illustrate this transatlantic exchange. The activities of groups like the British Union of Fascists, while eventually suppressed, impacted the development of similar groups in the US. Conversely, the strategies and tactics used by the Ku Klux Klan and other American white supremacist groups found echo within the UK far right. The rhetoric often employed similar themes of racial supremacy , chauvinistic fervor, and a deep-seated xenophobia .

Moreover, certain prominent individuals played a crucial role in maintaining this connection . The exchange of ideas and personnel wasn't always overt; subtle forms of encouragement could be equally potent. Letters, private meetings, and informal networks all contributed to the consolidation and continuation of this disturbing connection.

The legacy of this post-war Anglo-American far-right relationship is significant. It demonstrates how seemingly disparate groups can find common ground in their extremist views and how easily ideologies can cross borders. Understanding this history is vital to combating modern-day far-right extremism, as the shared strategies and narratives of the past continue to resonate today. Recognizing the deep-rooted bonds helps us to better forecast future threats and develop effective counter-strategies.

In conclusion, the post-war Anglo-American far-right demonstrated a “special relationship” based on shared intolerance and a united effort to promote their far-right agendas. This transatlantic connection, fueled by the results of World War II and the Cold War, serves as a stark reminder of the enduring

power of extremist ideologies and the importance of vigilance in combating them.

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

Q1: Were these connections always overt and organized?

A1: No, the connections were often subtle and informal, utilizing private correspondence, personal networks, and shared ideological affinities rather than formal, structured alliances.

Q2: What role did the Cold War play in shaping this relationship?

A2: The Cold War provided a convenient framework for far-right groups to portray themselves as defenders against communism, thereby gaining legitimacy and support while sharing a common enemy.

Q3: What lessons can we learn from this history?

A3: This historical analysis emphasizes the transnational nature of far-right extremism, highlighting the importance of international cooperation in identifying and countering such movements. It also underscores the need for vigilance and education in order to prevent the resurgence of these dangerous ideologies.

Q4: How does understanding this history help us today?

A4: By understanding the historical connections and strategies employed by these groups, we can better identify and address similar tactics used by contemporary far-right movements. This knowledge is crucial for developing effective counter-extremism strategies.

[https://www.buymylakehome.com/haracterise/T5004V6/160926/vi
ewsonic_vtms2431_lcd_tv_service_manual.pdf](https://www.buymylakehome.com/haracterise/T5004V6/160926/vi
ewsonic_vtms2431_lcd_tv_service_manual.pdf)

[https://www.buymylakehome.com/exhibit/px/951X63P/2007_chevr
olet-corvette-manual.pdf](https://www.buymylakehome.com/exhibit/px/951X63P/2007_chevr
olet-corvette-manual.pdf)

[https://www.buymylakehome.com/stretch/yx162609/99540X631Y
133314/working_overseas-the__complete-tax-
guide__2014__2015.pdf](https://www.buymylakehome.com/stretch/yx162609/99540X631Y
133314/working_overseas-the__complete-tax-
guide__2014__2015.pdf)

[https://www.buymylakehome.com/correspond/xu/925UX10/pola__
baju__anak.pdf](https://www.buymylakehome.com/correspond/xu/925UX10/pola__
baju__anak.pdf)

[https://www.buymylakehome.com/haracterise/pw162609/9318W2
1P95133314/java__artificial_intelligence_made-easy-
w_java_programming_learn-to-create_your_problem__solving-
algorithms_today-w__machine_learning-data-](https://www.buymylakehome.com/haracterise/pw162609/9318W2
1P95133314/java__artificial_intelligence_made-easy-
w_java_programming_learn-to-create_your_problem__solving-
algorithms_today-w__machine_learning-data-)

[structures_artificial_intelligence-series.pdf](#)
<https://www.buymylakehome.com/stretch/mn162609/4M6579191N133314/2015-kawasaki-ninja-400r-owners-manual.pdf>
https://www.buymylakehome.com/announce/6190W64I00/5314W9I/case__621b-loader_service_manual.pdf
https://www.buymylakehome.com/balance/133314/74LW537749/how_to_conduct_organizational_surveys_a_step-by_step_guide.pdf
https://www.buymylakehome.com/separate/160926/7C4T384169/soft_and-hard-an-animal-opposites.pdf
https://www.buymylakehome.com/formulate/133314/54603P187R/around-the-bloc_my_life_in-moscow_beijing_and-havana_paperback_march_9-2004.pdf